

EU AI ACT · DRAFT GUIDELINES · 19 MAY 2026

High-risk AI in critical infrastructure

For operators, suppliers and managers of digital infrastructure, traffic systems and utilities.

-
- Annex III point 2
 - Practical high-risk classification
 - Commercial readiness briefing for governance teams



CLASSIFICATION LOGIC

3 questions decide the route

Use this as a starting point for AI inventory, gap intake and roadmap.

01

Intended purpose

What output does the system produce, in which context and with what effect on people or operations?

02

High-risk route

Does the use case fall under Annex III point 2, or should Article 5 or Annex I be checked first?

03

Readiness roadmap

Translate the classification into obligations, evidence, training and supplier actions.

Intake question: Does the AI influence safety, availability or priority for a critical service?

What falls in scope?

This domain version helps turn a first AI Act gap check into concrete review questions.

AI becomes a high-risk question when its output can affect safety, availability, operational priority or resilience in critical infrastructure.

- Critical digital infrastructure.
- Road traffic and traffic management.
- Supply of water, gas, heating and electricity.
- AI used as a safety component or operational decision support.

When does this become a readiness question?

You do not need a final legal conclusion before starting governance work.

Review first

- AI that detects incidents and prioritises recovery actions.
- AI in traffic lights, route priority or incident response.
- Load forecasting or grid balancing with operational impact.
- Security or availability decisions in critical digital chains.

Define first

- Retrospective reporting without operational steering.
- Office automation for infrastructure teams.
- Simulation or planning without live operational effect.
- Dashboards that do not recommend priorities or actions.

USE CASES

3 situations for the intake

These examples help identify the right stakeholders, documents and evidence path.

01

Digital infrastructure

AI prioritises incidents in a cloud or network operation.

02

Traffic

AI supports traffic lights or incident detection on busy routes.

03

Utilities

AI recommends recovery order after electricity or water disruptions.

Use this as a scoping aid, not as a final legal conclusion.

What a readiness track should produce

Classification should end in actions, ownership and reviewable documents.

Core deliverables

- AI inventory and risk classification
- Provider/deployer role split
- Gap analysis on obligations and evidence
- 30/60/90-day roadmap
- Management summary and next routes

Domain focus

- Intended purpose and operational decision function.
- Safety impact, availability and fallback scenarios.
- Human override and incident response procedures.
- Robustness, cybersecurity and logging of operational output.

SOURCE STATUS

Based on the Commission draft guidelines

Use this as an intake and classification framework. Check final guidance before legal decisions are completed.

Status on 8 June 2026

- The Commission published the draft guidelines on 19 May 2026.
- Annex III contains 8 areas. This briefing works out 1 area practically.
- The formal AI Act text remains leading.

Commission draft guidelines

Annex III official text

Article 6

Gap intake

For decisions with legal consequences, a full system and context review remains necessary.



NEXT STEP

Classify your critical infrastructure AI before the roadmap gets stuck.

Embed AI helps turn a loose AI list into a defensible classification, gap analysis and concrete 30/60/90-day roadmap.

Start gap intake

View Readiness Sprint

Book a call



Author: Zahed Ashkara

EU AI Act expert, AI governance and compliance consultant. Zahed helps organisations classify AI systems and make governance practical.

